

URGENT / HITNO - Odeljenju za unutrašnju kontrolu

From Treats From Nature <[REDACTED]>

To [REDACTED]

Date Thursday, 5 March 2026 at 14:29

Za: Rukovodioca Sektora tržišne inspekcije / Odeljenje za unutrašnju kontrolu

To: Head of the Market Inspection Sector / Sector for Internal Control

Nakon mojih prethodnih prigovora i dopune od 04.03.2026, upućujem ovo formalno obaveštenje povodom dokumentovanog profesionalnog propusta inspektora Atila Kovač iz odeljenja u Subotici.

Following my previous complaints and the supplementation dated 04.03.2026, I am issuing this formal notice regarding the documented professional misconduct of Inspector Atila Kovač from the Subotica office.

1. Dokumentovano priznanje falsifikata / Documented Admission of Forgery:

U svojoj korespondenciji od 04.03.2026. godine, inspektor izričito priznaje da zvanični cenovnik sadrži tarifu od **800 RSD** za 'naseljena mesta' (sela). Naknadnom tvrdnjom da se ova tarifa ne primenjuje zbog učestalosti usluge — što je uslov koji **ne postoji** u Službenom listu br. 29/2025 — inspektor je svesno počinio intelektualni falsifikat i zloupotrebu službenog položaja (Član 359. Krivičnog zakonika).

In his correspondence dated 04.03.2026, the inspector explicitly admits that the Official Price List contains a rate of 800 RSD for 'settled areas' (villages). By subsequently claiming this rate does not apply due to service frequency—a condition that does not exist in the Official Gazette No. 29/2025—the inspector has knowingly committed Intellectual Forgery and Abuse of Official Position (Article 359 of the Criminal Code).

2. Odgovornost pretpostavljenog / Supervisory Responsibility:

Prema Zakonu o državnoj upravi, pretpostavljeni koji je obavešten o nezakonitom aktu podređenog, a propusti da preduzme korektivne mere, deli pravnu odgovornost. Inspektor ne vrši 'tumačenje' zakona; on ga 'izmišlja' kako bi omogućio nezakonito izvlačenje sredstava od strane javnog preduzeća.

Under the Law on State Administration, a supervisor who is informed of a subordinate's illegal act and fails to take corrective measures shares in the legal liability. The inspector is not 'interpreting' the law; he is 'inventing' it to facilitate the illegal extraction of funds by a public utility.

3. Formalni zahtev / Formal Demand:

Zahtevam da Ministarstvo odmah: / I demand that the Ministry immediately:

- Poništi 'nadzorni stav' inspektora jer je u suprotnosti sa višim javnim aktom (Službenim listom). / *Nullify the inspector's 'supervisory view' as it contradicts a superior Public Act (The Official Gazette).*
- Pokrene disciplinski postupak zbog teže povrede radne dužnosti (netačno utvrđivanje činjeničnog stanja). / *Initiate a disciplinary procedure for a serious breach of duty (untruthful determination of facts).*

Ukoliko se ne donese zakonito rešenje u zakonskom roku, biće podneta formalna krivična prijava Posebnom odeljenju za suzbijanje korupcije pri Višem javnom tužilaštvu, protiv inspektora i celokupnog nadzornog lanca koji je ćutao.“

Failure to provide a lawful resolution within the statutory deadline will result in a formal Criminal Complaint filed with the Special Department for the Suppression of Corruption at the Higher Public Prosecutor's Office, naming both the inspector and the silent supervisory chain.

S poštovanjem,

Respectfully,

Wendy Wood

Ive Lole Ribara 22, Pačir



U Pačiru, dana 05.03.2026

In Pačir, dated 05.03.2026

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

56.54 KB 1 file attached

Email from inspector 04.03.2023.pdf 56.54 KB