

OPOMENA PRED UTUŽENJE I ZAHTEV ZA HITNU ISPRAVKU

From Treats From Nature ·

To Magločistač BT<

BCC learnenglishwithwendy <

Date Monday, 16 March 2026 at 17:22

Maja,

Ovim putem vas formalno obaveštavam o nameri pokretanja sudskog postupka za naknadu štete i povredu ugleda zbog objavljivanja netačnih informacija u tekstu "Razrešena zbrka oko plaćanja usluge odvoženja smeća iz seoskih domaćinstava Bačke Topole: Kategorija ukinuta"

U navedenom tekstu, direktno mi je pripisana tvrdnja o pozivanju na 'Službeni list br. 25/2025'. Naglašavam da je ovaj podatak **apsolutna neistina i novinarska fabrikacija**. Nikada nisam koristio niti pomenuo taj broj glasnika u svojoj pritužbi. Ovakvim podmetanjem nepostojećih pravnih izvora, direktno nanosite štetu mom postupku pred Sektorom unutrašnje kontrole u Beogradu, prikazujući moje legalne zahteve kao neosnovane.

Zahtevam da u roku od **48 sati**:

1. Uklonite lažni citat i ispravite broj Službenog lista na onaj koji je naveden u mojoj zvaničnoj dokumentaciji.
2. Objavite demanti na istoj poziciji na kojoj je objavljen sporni tekst.

Ukoliko ne postupite po ovom zahtevu, biću prinuđen da zaštitu svojih prava potražim pred nadležnim sudom, kao i da podnesem žalbu Komisiji za žalbe Saveta za štampu zbog kršenja Kodeksa novinara Srbije.

S poštovanjem,
Wendy

Sent with secure email.

On Monday, 16 March 2026 at 16:26, Magločistač BT

wrote:

Anyway, it is not okey to prejudging and labeling. I always ask all relevant participants and try to be objective. I am sorry because of Your disappointment, but I understand. If it is any consolation - I believe that the "Komgrad" corrected their price list because of Your comments and activities. That is Your "small victory". Wish You good luck too, in some better system.

Maja Savić, novinarka
Portal Magločistač,

From: Treats From Nature
Sent: 16 March 2026 15:54
To: Magločistač BT
Subject: Re: Information

Dear Maja,

If a journalist asks a question that strictly aligns with the other party's argument, I consider that to be at the very least suspicious. I have been here long enough to see how things work.

I am now in the process of selling my property and move elsewhere. It's for Serbia to deal with its own problems. As far as I am concerned, I want to live in a place where the rule of law prevails, not the law of the jungle.

I wish you all the best.

Regards,
Wendy.

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

On Monday, 16 March 2026 at 15:33, Magločistač BT

> wrote:

Dear Wendy,

if a journalist ask a question, it does not mean that he is for one of for other side, or that he is not objective. That usually means that he needs to have insight into the whole situation - mainly so that he can be objective. This is the link: <https://www.maglocistac.rs/backa-topola/razresena-zbrka-oko-placanja-usluge-odvozenja-smeca-iz-seoskih-domacinstava-backe-topole-kategorija-ukinuta>

Meanwhile, JP "Komgrad" has deleted the village category from its price list.

Best Rigards,

Maja Savić, novinarka
Portal Magločistač,

From: Treats From Nature
Sent: 13 March 2026 16:30
To: Magločistač BT <
Subject: Re: Information

Dear Maja,

I have already addressed the substance of your question in my previous correspondence and formal notice.

The question of whether garbage is collected once or twice a week is a **logistical detail** managed by the utility provider and is legally irrelevant to the application of the tariff. **Službeni list br. 29/2025** establishes a maximum fixed rate of **800 RSD** (a 15% increase from the previous established rate) for 'settled areas' (villages) based on **location**, not on the frequency of the truck's arrival.

The law does not provide 'tiered' pricing based on weekly vs. bi-weekly service. **By insisting on this line of questioning, you are implying that the Official Gazette is optional or subject to personal interpretation. In a state supposedly governed by the Rule of Law, a public act is not a suggestion; it is a mandate.**

Furthermore, independent journalism is predicated on the uncompromising duty to follow facts wherever they lead, prioritising the public's right to know over the interests of state institutions or public utility companies. By focusing on logistical 'practice' to obscure a fixed legal tariff, a journalist abandons professional objectivity and fails to hold those in power accountable to the law.

Any attempt to 're-categorise' a household based on service frequency—a condition that **does not exist** in the written law—constitutes a documented deviation from official duty. I expect the Ministry to apply the law as it is written, not as it is 'interpreted' to suit the financial interests of the public enterprise.

It is no coincidence that in 2025, the Corruption Perceptions Index has recorded Serbia's **worst score in 20 years**, ranking it at the very bottom of the region. This decline is fueled by exactly the kind of **administrative overreach and intellectual forgery** witnessed here—where public officials bypass written law to favour institutional interests over the rights of citizens. Independent journalism and oversight are not optional; they are the only safeguards against the 'captured justice' and systemic corruption that these record-low scores reflect.

It is no mystery why citizens are fleeing this country at a rate that will see **15% of this population vanish** in the coming decades (Serbia has already lost roughly **660,000 inhabitants** over the last ten years, which is nearly **10% of its total population**). When a simple village household cannot even rely on the **literal text of an Official Gazette** without an inspector 'interpreting' it into a surcharge, the social contract is broken. People do not leave just for higher wages; they leave to escape a system where the rule of law is treated as an optional suggestion by those meant to enforce it.

Regards,
Wendy

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

On Friday, 13 March 2026 at 15:07, Magločistač BT

• wrote:

Thank you. I understand at all.
I'm just asking, in practice, since
January - your garbage has been
taking away every week, or every
second week?

Poslano iz aplikacije [Outlook za iOS](#)

Šalje: Treats From Nature ·

Poslano: Friday, March 13, 2026 2:05:39 PM

Prima: Magločistač BT

Predmet: Re: Information

The inspector's 'supervisory view' is legally void because it relies on a condition (**service frequency**) **that is absent from Službeni list br. 29/2025**. Under the Rule of Law, an administrative body must apply the tariff as published. By inventing a non-existent prerequisite, the inspector has exceeded his mandate and facilitated an illegal financial gain for the service provider.

Based on the documentation for **Službeni list opštine Bačka Topola br. 29/2025**, there is **no mention of service frequency** as a condition for the **800 RSD** rate.

Analysis of the Official Act:

- **Classification by Location:** The rate is strictly defined by the category of the settlement—specifically **"naseljena mesta" (villages)**.
- **Fixed Fee Structure:** The transition in 2025 was designed to move away from variable metrics to a **standardized fixed fee** per household category.
- **Absence of Frequency Clause:** No part of the published tariff in the Gazette links the 800 RSD price to a specific number of weekly pickups (e.g., "once vs. twice a week").

Regards,
Wendy.

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

On Friday, 13 March 2026 at 08:10, Magločistač BT

wrote:

Dear Wendy,

We informed You that we are working
on writing the text. I just need to ask

You for this information: Since January, has "Komgrad" been taking away garbage from Pačir every week, or every two weeks (blue-green trash can system)?

Thanks,

Maja Savić, novinarka
Portal Magločistač,